# -CONFIDENTIAL

17 April 1962

#### STAFF STUDY

#### SOOTH ICG REPORTS

### PROBLEM

Duplication and inconsistencies in the processing of 500th ICG reports (Formerly USACRAPAC).

#### BACKGROUND

These reports are in great demand by a few offices; whereas most offices have little interest. There is a long history of confusion regarding their procurement from several sources. A detailed log maintained by the Analysis Branch Secretary has now shed some light on the situation.

#### DISCUSSION

	A.	Receipt. There are four known sources of receipt by the Agency and the statistics indicate that we receive about 75% of all reports issued. Of those received:			
25X1		1. The largest group is received by DD from the DDI representa- tive (about 75% or 10 does/day).			
25X1		2. The next largest is received by Ly/Acq. Br.			
		<ol> <li>A few, including some of limited distribution, are received by DD as enclosures to IR's from ACSI. (About 5% or 1 doc/day).</li> </ol>			
		t. The latest group uncovered is a special collection effort on reports relating to mapping for ORR. ORR now sends a copy of these to DD for processing.			
	В.	Processing. All are logged and the duplicated destroyed except the ACSI transmittals which are processed to provide a cross			

GROUP 1
Excluded com automatic downgrating and declassification

25X1

Approved For Release 2006/09/27: CIA-RDP67-00896R000100120046-0

reference. Processing follows the normal routine of code or nodex as selected. The Ly/Acq. group is given a standard distribution by that office\*. Recently, the Library copy has been sent to DD for selection and appropriate processing with the other groups.

C. Deplication. Statistics developed by the log indicate less than 15 deplication between the two main sources (Ly/Acq. and the DDI rep). There was almost complete deplication between the items received from ACSI and the two main categories (except for the limited distribution E series received exclusively from ACSI). The few items received from CRR to date also deplicated items already received.

## CONCLUSIONS

- A. The diplication between the two main categories is a negligible problem (2% or 1 doc per week).
- 5. About 93% of the two minor entegories duplicates reports previously received. The remaining 7% (about 2 documents per month) represents only 1% of the total number of documents received.
- C. The documents received by Ly/Acq. do not merit indexing since they are press summaries.

## RECOMMENDATION

- A. Mecontimue the log.
- B. Continue receipt of the items from ACSI in order to check for any new series which in addition to the E series should be processed. Other items may be destroyed.
- C. Discontinue receipt of the copy from Library Acquisitions (copy to go direct to Ly/Docs as before).
- D. Continue to check the special category from CRR to be sure the deplication continues, in which case terminate receipt of these reports.

*	1	**	CCR/ BRA
	1	•	RI/DI
	1		

1 - IR

1 - FDD

1 - Ly/Does

25X<sup>2</sup>